arXiv:1702.07594v1 [hep-ph] 24 Feb 2017

Tensor-polarized structure function b_1 by convolution picture for deuteron

W. Cosyn,[1] Yu-Bing Dong,[2,3] S. Kumano,[4,5] and M. Sargsian[6]

[1] *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, Proeftuinstraat 86, B9000 Ghent, Belgium*
[2] *Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*
[3] *Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities (TPCSF), CAS, Beijing 100049, China*
[4] *KEK Theory Center, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK 1-1, Ooho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, Japan*
[5] *J-PARC Branch, KEK Theory Center, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK, and Theory Group, Particle and Nuclear Physics Division, J-PARC Center, 203-1, Shirakata, Tokai, Ibaraki, 319-1106, Japan*
[6] *Department of Physics, Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199, USA*

(Dated: February 9, 2017)

There are polarized structure functions b_{1-4} for the spin-1 deuteron. We calculated the leading-twist tensor structure function b_1 by using convolution description for the deuteron. We found large differences between our theoretical functions and HERMES experimental data on b_1. Although higher-twist effects should be considered in obtaining experimental b_1, it suggests a possible existence of new hadron physics mechanism for spin-1 hadrons. Furthermore, we found that there are significant distributions at large Bjorken x. In future, an experimental measurement is planned at JLab for b_1 and there is a possibility of a proton-deuteron Drell-Yan experiment at Fermilab with the tensor-polarized deuteron, so that further theoretical studies are needed for clarifying the physics origin of tensor structure in terms of quark and gluon degrees of freedom.

PACS numbers: 13.60.Hb, 13.88.+e

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of nucleon spin puzzle created the field of high-energy spin physics. So far, the studies have been focused on spin-1/2 nucleon. It is known that there exist additional structure functions b_{1-4} for a spin-1 hadron in charged-lepton deep inelastic scattering due to the existence of tensor structure [1, 2]. There are some theoretical studies on the tensor-polarized structure functions, and the first measurement of b_1 was reported by the HERMES collaboration [3]. A useful parametrization was proposed for the tensor-polarized parton distribution functions (PDFs) from the HERMES data [4] by using a constraint for the b_1 sum proposed for the tensor-polarized valence-quark distributions [5]. Although the b_1 data are not still accurate, the measurement indicates interesting features different from conventional theoretical calculations. As the deviation from the naive-quark-model prediction created the topic of nucleon spin puzzle, it is important to show a conventional theoretical estimate with the standard deuteron model since a possible experimental deviation may indicate a "tensor-polarization puzzle".

As such a "standard" model of the deuteron for describing the twist-two structure function b_1, we use a convolution picture. Namely, the tensor-polarized structure function b_1 is given by unpolarized structure functions convoluted with tensor-polarized lightcone momentum distributions of the nucleon. There are such studies for b_1 in Refs. [2, 6]. We try to test their results by independent ways. We use two theoretical descriptions. One is a basic convolution model and another is the virtual-nucleon-approximation model. Such convolution models have been used also as a baseline calculation for the nuclear structure function F_2^A at medium and large x in which nuclear effects were taken into account through the binding and nucleon Fermi motion in a nucleus together with short-range correlations. These physics ingredients are contained in the spectral function, which is the nucleon's four-momentum distribution in the nucleus. We directly apply such descriptions for calculating the structure function b_1. If the calculated b_1 distribution is much different from the HERMES measurement, a new hadron physics mechanism could be considered beyond the standard model of the deuteron in describing the tensor structure in terms of quark and gluon degrees of freedom [7].

The purpose of our research is to show the convolution result on b_1 as the standard theoretical estimate and to discuss its comparison with the HERMES data [8]. In particular, a new accurate measurement of b_1 will start in a few years at JLab [9] and a possible Drell-Yan experiment is considered at Fermilab with a tensor-polarized deuteron [10, 11]. Furthermore, other experiments are possible in principle for the tensor-polarized structure functions at BNL, EIC, J-PARC, GSI-FAIR, and IHEP@Russia.

II. THEORETICAL FORMALISMS FOR b_1

The cross section for deep inelastic scattering of charged lepton from a spin-1 hadron is given by a hadron tensor multiplied by a lepton tensor, and the hadron tensor is expressed in terms of eight structure functions as

[2, 12]

$$W^{\lambda'\lambda}_{\mu\nu}(P,q) = \int \frac{d^4\xi}{4\pi}\, e^{iq\cdot\xi}\, \langle\, P,\lambda' \,|\, [\, J^{em}_\mu(\xi), J^{em}_\nu(0)]\,|\, P,\lambda\,\rangle$$
$$= -F_1 \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{F_2}{M\nu}\hat{P}_\mu\hat{P}_\nu + \frac{ig_1}{\nu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}q^\lambda S^\sigma + \frac{ig_2}{M\nu^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}q^\lambda(P\cdot q\, S^\sigma - S\cdot q\, P^\sigma) - b_1 r_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{6}b_2(s_{\mu\nu}+t_{\mu\nu}+u_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}b_3(s_{\mu\nu}-u_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2}b_4(s_{\mu\nu}-t_{\mu\nu}). \quad (1)$$

The tensor-polarized structure functions are b_{1-4}, which do not exist for the spin-1/2 nucleon. Here, $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{P}_\mu$ are defined as $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu} - q_\mu q_\nu/q^2$ and $\hat{P}_\mu \equiv P_\mu - q_\mu P\cdot q/q^2$ to satisfy the current conservation $q^\mu W_{\mu\nu} = q^\nu W_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The coefficients $r_{\mu\nu}$, $s_{\mu\nu}$, $t_{\mu\nu}$, and $u_{\mu\nu}$ are defined by the spin-1 polarization vector, hadron and virtual-photon momenta (P, q), and initial and final spin states (λ, λ'), and their actual expressions should be found in Refs. [2, 12]. The structure functions b_3 and b_4 are twist-4, and b_1 and b_2 are leading-twist functions which are related to each other by the Callan-Gross type relation $2xb_1 = b_2$ in the Bjorken scaling limit. We may first investigate the leading function b_1 (or b_2).

The structure function b_1 is expressed in terms of the tensor-polarized PDFs $\delta_{_T} f(x,Q^2)$ in the parton model as

$$b_1(x,Q^2) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i e_i^2\, \left[\delta_{_T} q_i(x,Q^2) + \delta_{_T}\bar{q}_i(x,Q^2)\right],$$
$$\delta_{_T} f(x,Q^2) \equiv f^0(x,Q^2) - \frac{f^{+1}(x,Q^2)+f^{-1}(x,Q^2)}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Here, f^λ is an unpolarized parton distribution in the hadron spin state λ and e_i is the charge of the quark flavor i. The Bjorken scaling variable x is defined as $x = Q^2/(2M_N\nu)$ with the nucleon mass M_N and $\nu = P\cdot q/M$, and its range is given by $0 < x < 2$ for the deuteron.

There is a sum rule for b_1 [4, 5] in the similar way with the Gottfried sum rule [13]:

$$\int dx\, b_1(x) = -\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{5}{24}\, t\, F_Q(t) + \frac{1}{9}\int dx\, \left[\, 4\,\delta_{_T}\bar{u}(x) + \delta_{_T}\bar{d}(x) + \delta_{_T}\bar{s}(x)\,\right],$$
$$\int \frac{dx}{x}\,[F_2^p(x) - F_2^n(x)] = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\int dx\,[\bar{u}(x) - \bar{d}(x)], \quad (3)$$

where $F_Q(t)$ is the electric quadrupole form factor for the spin-1 hadron and the first term, which comes from tensor-polarized valence-quark distributions, vanishes: $\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{5}{24}tF_Q(t) = 0$. It could be used as a guideline in investigating b_1. As the Gottfried-sum-rule violation initiated the studies of $\bar{u}-\bar{d}$ [13], a finite b_1 sum could indicate tensor-polarized antiquark distributions. In fact, a finite b_1 sum was suggested in the HERMES experiment, and it is interesting to measure the tensor-polarized antiquark distributions in the Fermilab-E1039 Drell-Yan experiment [11].

For calculating b_1 in the standard deuteron model with D-state admixture, we introduce two convolution models. (A) One is a basic convolution description and (B) another is a virtual nucleon approximation which includes higher-twist contributions.

A. Theory 1: Basic convolution description

In the convolution description of nuclear structure functions $W^A_{\mu\nu}$, the hadron tensor is given by the nucleonic one $W_{\mu\nu}$ convoluted with the momentum distribution of the nucleon, so called spectral function $S(p)$, as

$$W^A_{\mu\nu}(P_A,q) = \int d^4p\, S(p)\, W_{\mu\nu}(p,q),$$
$$S(p) = \frac{1}{A}\sum_i |\phi_i(\vec{p})|^2\,\delta\left(p^0 - M_A + \sqrt{M^2_{A-i} + \vec{p}^{\,2}}\right), \quad (4)$$

where p and P_A are momenta for the nucleon and nucleus, and $\phi_i(\vec{p})$ is the momentum-space wave function for the i-th nucleon. It explains major features of nucleon modifications at medium and large x ($x > 0.2$) by the mechanisms of nuclear binding, Fermi motion, and short-range correlations contained in the spectral function.

The hadron tensor for the deuteron can be expressed by their helicity amplitudes of the virtual photon as [2]

$$A_{hH,hH}(x,Q^2) = \varepsilon^{*\mu}_h \varepsilon^\nu_h\, W^D_{\mu\nu}(p_{_D},q), \quad (5)$$

and the corresponding one $\hat{A}_{hs,hs}(x,Q^2)$ for the nucleon. Here, ε^μ_h is the photon polarization vector. The structure function b_1 of the deuteron and F_1 of the nucleon (F_1^N) are expressed as [2, 14]

$$b_1 = A_{+0,+0} - \frac{A_{++,++}+A_{+-,+-}}{2},$$
$$F_1^N = \frac{A_{+\uparrow,+\uparrow}+A_{+\downarrow,+\downarrow}}{2}, \quad (6)$$

in the Bjorken scaling limit. Using these equations, we obtain a convolution expression for b_1 defined by the one per nucleon as

$$b_1(x,Q^2) = \int \frac{dy}{y}\,\delta_T f(y)\, F_1^N(x/y,Q^2),$$
$$\delta_T f(y) \equiv f^0(y) - \frac{f^+(y)+f^-(y)}{2}. \quad (7)$$

The lightcone momentum distribution is expressed by the deuteron wave function $\phi^H(\vec{p})$ as

$$f^H(y) = \int d^3p\, y\,|\,\phi^H(\vec{p})\,|^2\,\delta\left(y - \frac{E-p_z}{M_N}\right). \quad (8)$$

The variable y is the momentum fraction defined by $y = M\,p\cdot q/(M_N\,P\cdot q) \simeq 2\,p^-/P^-$ where the lightcone momentum is defined by $p^- \equiv (p^0 - p^3)/\sqrt{2}$ by taking z-axis along the virtual-photon momentum direction. Using the deuteron wave function with the D-state

admixture, we obtain

$$\delta_T f(y) = \int d^3p\, y \left[-\frac{3}{4\sqrt{2}\pi}\phi_0(p)\phi_2(p) + \frac{3}{16\pi}|\phi_2(p)|^2 \right] \times (3\cos^2\theta - 1)\,\delta\left(y - \frac{p\cdot q}{M_N \nu}\right), \quad (9)$$

where $\phi_0(p)$ and $\phi_2(p)$ are S- and D-state wave functions. According to this basic convolution model, the structure function b_1 arises due to the D-state admixture. For calculating Eq. (7), we need the structure function F_1^N. In our work, we use the leading-order (LO) expression with the longitudinal-transverse ratio R as

$$F_1^N(x,Q^2) = \frac{1+4\,M_N^2\,x^2/Q^2}{2\,x\,[1+R(x,Q^2)]}\,F_2^N(x,Q^2),$$
$$F_2^N(x,Q^2)_{\rm LO} = x\sum_i e_i^2\left[q_i(x,Q^2)+\bar{q}_i(x,Q^2)\right]_{\rm LO}. \quad (10)$$

B. Theory 2: Virtual nucleon approximation

The cross section for the charged-lepton DIS from the polarized deuteron is expressed by the polarization factors and structure functions:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx\,dQ^2} = \frac{\pi y^2\alpha^2}{Q^4(1-\epsilon)}\Big[F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L} + T_{\parallel\parallel}\left(F_{UT_{LL},T} + \epsilon F_{UT_{LL},L}\right) + T_{\parallel\perp}\cos\phi_{T_\parallel}\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\,F_{UT_{LT}}^{\cos\phi_{T_\parallel}} + T_{\perp\perp}\cos(2\phi_{T_\perp})\,\epsilon\,F_{UT_{TT}}^{\cos(2\phi_{T_\perp})}\Big], \quad (11)$$

where ϵ is the degree of the longitudinal polarization of the virtual photon, the details on the longitudinal and transverse polarization factors ($T_{\parallel\parallel}$, $T_{\parallel\perp}$, $T_{\perp\perp}$) and the angles ($\phi_{T_\parallel}$, $\phi_{T_\perp}$) should be found in Ref. [15]. Among the structure functions, $F_{UT_{LL},T}$ and $F_{UT_{TT}}^{\cos(2\phi_{T_\perp})}$ are related to b_1 and they are expressed by the helicity amplitudes and tensor-polarized structure functions b_{1-3} as

$$F_{UT_{LL},T} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\left(A_{++,++} - 2A_{+0,+0} + A_{+-,+-}\right), = -\frac{1}{x}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\left[2(1+\gamma^2)xb_1 - \gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{6}b_2 - \frac{1}{2}b_3\right)\right],$$
$$F_{UT_{TT}}^{\cos(2\phi_{T_\perp})} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\Re e A_{+-,-+} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{\gamma^2}{x}\left(\frac{1}{6}b_2 - \frac{1}{2}b_3\right), \quad (12)$$

where $\gamma = \sqrt{Q^2}/\nu$. From these equations, b_1 is expressed by these two structure functions as

$$b_1 = -\frac{1}{1+\gamma^2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\left[F_{UT_{LL},T} + F_{U\mathcal{T}_{TT}}^{\cos(2\phi_{T_\perp})}\right]. \quad (13)$$

Now, we explain the virtual nucleon approximation (VNA) for calculating b_1. It considers the np component of the light-front deuteron wave function. The virtual photon interacts with one nucleon which is off the mass shell, while the second non-interacting "spectator" is assumed to be on its mass shell. Then, the structure functions are obtained by integrating over all possible spectator momenta $\vec{p}_N$:

$$W_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda'\lambda}(P,q) = 4(2\pi)^3\int d\Gamma_N\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_i}W_{\mu\nu}(p_i,q)\rho_D(\lambda',\lambda), \quad (14)$$

where $d\Gamma_N$ is the phase space for the spectator nucleon. The factor $4(2\pi)^3$ comes from the definition of deuteron lightcone wave function, and the factor α_N/α_i exists because the hadron tensor $W_{\mu\nu}$ is for the nucleon with momentum p_i instead of the nucleon at rest [15]. The lightcone momentum fractions are defined for the interacting (i) and spectator (N) nucleons as $\alpha_i = 2\,p_i^-/P^-$ and $\alpha_N = 2\,p_N^-/P^- = 2-\alpha_i$. The deuteron density $\rho_D(\lambda',\lambda)$ is defined by the deuteron wave function $\Psi_\lambda^D(\vec{k},\lambda'_N,\lambda_N)$ as

$$\rho_D(\lambda',\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_N,\,\lambda'_N}\frac{[\Psi_{\lambda'}^D(\vec{k},\lambda'_N,\,\lambda_N)]^\dagger\Psi_\lambda^D(\vec{k},\lambda'_N,\,\lambda_N)}{\alpha_N\,\alpha_i}. \quad (15)$$

The wave function Ψ_λ^D is then expressed by the S- and D-wave components ϕ_0 and ϕ_2. Calculating the structure functions in Eq. (12) and using the relation of Eq. (13), we finally obtain b_1 in the VNA model:

$$b_1(x,Q^2) = \frac{3}{4(1+\gamma^2)}\int\frac{k^2}{\alpha_i}dk\,d(\cos\theta_k) \times\left[F_1^N(x_i,Q^2)\left(6\cos^2\theta_k - 2\right) - \frac{T^2}{2\,p_i\cdot q}F_2^N(x_i,Q^2)\left(5\cos^2\theta_k - 1\right)\right] \times\left[-\frac{\phi_0(k)\phi_2(k)}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\phi_2(k)^2}{4}\right], \quad (16)$$

where θ_k is the angle between $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{q}$, and T^μ is defined by $T^\mu = p_N^\mu + q^\mu p_N\cdot q/Q^2 - L^\mu p_N\cdot L/L^2$ with $L^\mu = P^\mu + q^\mu P\cdot q/Q^2$. As obvious from the above derivation, the b_1 of the VNA model includes higher-twist contributions, whereas the first basic model of Eq. (7) was obtained by using the relation (6) in the scaling limit.

III. RESULTS

We show results on b_1 by integrating the expressions in Eqs. (7) and (16). For this numerical evaluation, we choose the PDFs for calculating F_2^N, the longitudinal-transverse ratio R, and the deuteron wave function. They are taken as MSTW2008 (Martin-Stirling-Thorne-Watt, 2008) leading-order (LO) parametrization, the SLAC-R1998 parametrization, and the CD-Bonn wave function, respectively.

Since the average scale of the HERMES measurement is $Q^2 = 2.5$ GeV^2, we show our result at this Q^2. In Eqs. (7) and (16), there are two components, $\phi_0\phi_2$ and ${\phi_2}^2$, which are called SD and DD terms. These contributions are shown in Fig. 1 with total b_1 curves for the two theoretical descriptions. The order of magnitude is rather small and the distributions are less than 10^{-3}.



FIG. 1. Structure function b_1 calculated by the two convolution descriptions at Q^2=2.5 GeV^2. The contributions from the SD term, DD term, and their summation are shown [8].



FIG. 2. Calculated structure functions at $Q^2 = 2.5$ GeV^2 are compared with HERMES experimental data [8].

We notice that these results are very different from previous convolution estimates of Refs. [2, 6] in the following points.

(1) Although theoretical formalisms are similar, our distributions, namely magnitude and x dependence, are very different. Especially, the SD curves have opposite sign to the one in Ref. [6].

(2) The finite distributions exist at large x, even at $x > 1$ although there is no distribution in Ref. [6].

There are also relatively large differences between two theory results. We checked that both results become similar in the scaling limit, which indicates that higher-twist effects are the major sources for the differences. In addition, there are effects coming from slightly different normalizations for the lightcone wave functions [8].

Next, our results are compared with the HERMES data in Fig. 2. It is obvious that theoretical curves are much different from the data. In the measured x range ($x < 0.5$), the experimental magnitude is one-order larger than both theoretical estimates. Furthermore, there are relatively large distributions even at large x ($0.6 < x < 0.8$). Because the HERMES errors are large, we cannot draw a solid conclusion from this comparison. However, the large differences indicate that possibly a new hadron physics mechanism could be needed for their interpretation, although there are still some rooms to improve, for example, by considering higher-twist effects in extracting b_1 experimentally from the spin asymmetry A_{zz} as pointed out in Ref. [8].

It is puzzling to find the large differences between the data and our standard convolution descriptions. In future, the JLab experiment will start in a few years to measure accurately b_1 at medium x ($0.3 < x < 0.5$), and there is a possibly to measure the proton-deuteron Drell-Yan process in the Fermilab-E1039 Drell-Yan experiment [11]. Therefore, such a puzzle should be clarified by future studies; however, further theoretical studies are needed to clarify the situation and to consider a new mechanism to explain the HERMES data. Possibly, a new hadron spin field could be explored by such studies.

IV. SUMMARY

We calculated the tensor-polarized structure function b_1 by using the standard deuteron model with D-state admixture and the two convolution models. We found that our b_1 values are much smaller in magnitude than the HERMES data in the range $x < 0.5$. It could indicate possible existence of a new hadron mechanism for interpreting the large differences, although other contributions such as higher-twists should be investigated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) Grant Number JP25105010.

[1] L. L. Frankfurt and M. I. Strikman, Nucl. Phys. A **405**, 557 (1983).
[2] P. Hoodbhoy, R. L. Jaffe, and A. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B **312**, 571 (1989); R. L. Jaffe and A. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. **B321**, 343 (1989).
[3] A. Airapetian *et al.* (HERMES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 242001 (2005).
[4] S. Kumano, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 017501 (2010).
[5] F. E. Close and S. Kumano, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 2377 (1990).
[6] H. Khan and P. Hoodbhoy, Phys. Rev. C **44**, 1219 (1991).
[7] G. A. Miller, Phys. Rev. C **89**, 045203 (2014).
[8] W. Cosyn, Yu-Bing Dong, S. Kumano and M. Sargsian, arXiv:1702.05337.
[9] Proposal to Jefferson Lab PAC-38 (PR12-11-110), J.-P. Chen *et al.* (2011).
[10] S. Hino and S. Kumano, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 094026 (1999); D **60**, 054018 (1999).
[11] S. Kumano and Q. T. Song, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 054022 (2016).
[12] S. Kumano, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **543**, 012001 (2014).
[13] S. Kumano, Phys. Rept. **303**, 183 (1998); J.-C. Peng and J.-W. Qiu, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **76**, 43 (2014).
[14] T.-Y. Kimura and S. Kumano, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 117505 (2008).
[15] W. Cosyn, M. Sargsian, and C. Weiss, to be submitted for publication.